

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/537,176	Applicant(s) SOMMERMEYER, KLAUS	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) SCARLETT GOON.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Steven Davis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: ____

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

35-53 and 68

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Steven Davis, applicant's agent, was contacted on 18 November 2008 to request a telephonic election on a further restriction requirement.. the election is between claims 35-53 (modified Group I) and claim 68 (newly added Group III). Mr. Davis indicated on a voicemail message on 20 November 2008, and confirmed in a telephonic conversation on 24 November 2008, they the Applicants elect to continue prosecution with the invention of modified Group I, claims 35-53, and further maintain their traversal argument as indicated in their response dated 29 September 2008. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

